

Statistical Theory for High- and Infinite-dimensional Models

Ardjen Pengel

Exercise 2.0+
01-03-17

Theorem: Ito isometry

Let $f \in L^2[0, \infty)$ and W_t be a standard Brownian motion defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ then :

$$\mathbb{E}[I(f)^2] = \int f(t)^2 dt$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}[I(f)I(g)] = \int f(t)g(t)dt$$

Suppose first that f is simple i.e. $f = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]}$, where $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = t$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$I(f) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j (W_{t_{j+1}} - W_{t_j}) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j D_j$$

where $D_j = W_{t_{j+1}} - W_{t_j}$.

$$I(f)^2 = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 D_j^2 + 2 \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j D_i D_j$$

Lets first evaluate the expectation of the cross terms:

$$\mathbb{E}[a_i a_j D_i D_j] = \mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mathbb{E}[D_i D_j | \mathcal{F}(t_j)]]$$

Suppose first that f is simple i.e. $f = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]}$, where $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = t$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$I(f) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j (W_{t_{j+1}} - W_{t_j}) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j D_j$$

where $D_j = W_{t_{j+1}} - W_{t_j}$.

$$I(f)^2 = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 D_j^2 + 2 \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j D_i D_j$$

Lets first evaluate the expectation of the cross terms:

$$\mathbb{E}[a_i a_j D_i D_j] = \mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mathbb{E}[D_i D_j | \mathcal{F}(t_j)]]$$

Suppose first that f is simple i.e. $f = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]}$, where $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = t$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$I(f) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j (W_{t_{j+1}} - W_{t_j}) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j D_j$$

where $D_j = W_{t_{j+1}} - W_{t_j}$.

$$I(f)^2 = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 D_j^2 + 2 \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j D_i D_j$$

Lets first evaluate the expectation of the cross terms:

$$\mathbb{E}[a_i a_j D_i D_j] = \mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mathbb{E}[D_i D_j | \mathcal{F}(t_j)]]$$

Suppose first that f is simple i.e. $f = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]}$, where $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = t$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$I(f) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j (W_{t_{j+1}} - W_{t_j}) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j D_j$$

where $D_j = W_{t_{j+1}} - W_{t_j}$.

$$I(f)^2 = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 D_j^2 + 2 \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j D_i D_j$$

Lets first evaluate the expectation of the cross terms:

$$\mathbb{E}[a_i a_j D_i D_j] = \mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mathbb{E}[D_i D_j | \mathcal{F}(t_j)]]$$

Note that D_i is $\mathcal{F}(t_j)$ measurable and D_j is independent of $\mathcal{F}(t_j)$

$$\mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mathbb{E}[D_i D_j | \mathcal{F}(t_j)]] = \mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mathbb{E}[D_j]] = 0$$

Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[I(f)^2] &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 \mathbb{E}[D_j^2] = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 (t_{j+1} - t_j) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]} dt = \int_0^\infty f(t)^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

Note that D_i is $\mathcal{F}(t_j)$ measurable and D_j is independent of $\mathcal{F}(t_j)$

$$\mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mathbb{E}[D_i D_j | \mathcal{F}(t_j)]] = \mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mathbb{E}[D_j]] = 0$$

Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[I(f)^2] &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 \mathbb{E}[D_j^2] = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 (t_{j+1} - t_j) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]} dt = \int_0^\infty f(t)^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

Note that D_i is $\mathcal{F}(t_j)$ measurable and D_j is independent of $\mathcal{F}(t_j)$

$$\mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mathbb{E}[D_i D_j | \mathcal{F}(t_j)]] = \mathbb{E}[a_i a_j \mathbb{E}[D_j]] = 0$$

Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[I(f)^2] &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 \mathbb{E}[D_j^2] = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 (t_{j+1} - t_j) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2 \mathbf{1}_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]} dt = \int_0^\infty f(t)^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that $I : S \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ preserves norms and thus is a linear isometry.

$$\|I(f)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = [\mathbb{E}[I(f)^2]]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\int f(t)^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|f\|_{L^2[0, \infty)}$$

Any linear isometric mapping also preserves the inner product:

$$\forall f, g \in S : \langle I(f), I(g) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2[0, \infty)}$$

This gives the required result:

$$\mathbb{E}[I(f)I(g)] = \int f(t)g(t)dt$$

This can be extended to general functions f and g by the "Cauchy sequence and completeness" argument and an MCT for interchanging the norm and the limit.

We have shown that $I : S \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ preserves norms and thus is a linear isometry.

$$\|I(f)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = [\mathbb{E}[I(f)^2]]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\int f(t)^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|f\|_{L^2[0, \infty)}$$

Any linear isometric mapping also preserves the inner product:

$$\forall f, g \in S : \langle I(f), I(g) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2[0, \infty)}$$

This gives the required result:

$$\mathbb{E}[I(f)I(g)] = \int f(t)g(t)dt$$

This can be extended to general functions f and g by the "Cauchy sequence and completeness" argument and an MCT for interchanging the norm and the limit.

We have shown that $I : S \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ preserves norms and thus is a linear isometry.

$$\|I(f)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = [\mathbb{E}[I(f)^2]]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\int f(t)^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|f\|_{L^2[0, \infty)}$$

Any linear isometric mapping also preserves the inner product:

$$\forall f, g \in S : \langle I(f), I(g) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2[0, \infty)}$$

This gives the required result:

$$\mathbb{E}[I(f)I(g)] = \int f(t)g(t)dt$$

This can be extended to general functions f and g by the "Cauchy sequence and completeness" argument and an MCT for interchanging the norm and the limit.

We have shown that $I : S \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ preserves norms and thus is a linear isometry.

$$\|I(f)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = [\mathbb{E}[I(f)^2]]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\int f(t)^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|f\|_{L^2[0, \infty)}$$

Any linear isometric mapping also preserves the inner product:

$$\forall f, g \in S : \langle I(f), I(g) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2[0, \infty)}$$

This gives the required result:

$$\mathbb{E}[I(f)I(g)] = \int f(t)g(t)dt$$

This can be extended to general functions f and g by the "Cauchy sequence and completeness" argument and an MCT for interchanging the norm and the limit.

Theorem

The simple functions S are dense in $L^2[0, \infty)$. Every measurable f is the pointwise limit of simple functions.

$$\exists \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S \quad \text{s.t.} \quad f = \sup_n f_n$$

Proof: The idea is to divide the range of f .

First divide $[0, 2^n]$ into 2^{2^n} subintervals of width 2^{-n} .

Define for every n :

$$A_{k,n} = f^{-1}[(k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{2^n} - 1$$

and $B_n = f^{-1}[(2^n, \infty))$.

This gives us the approximating simple functions:

$$f_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2^n}-1} k2^{-n} 1_{A_{k,n}} + 2^n 1_{B_n}$$

Theorem

The simple functions S are dense in $L^2[0, \infty)$. Every measurable f is the pointwise limit of simple functions.

$$\exists \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S \quad \text{s.t.} \quad f = \sup_n f_n$$

Proof: The idea is to divide the range of f .

First divide $[0, 2^n]$ into 2^{2^n} subintervals of width 2^{-n} .

Define for every n :

$$A_{k,n} = f^{-1}[(k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{2^n} - 1)$$

and $B_n = f^{-1}[(2^n, \infty)]$.

This gives us the approximating simple functions:

$$f_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2^n}-1} k2^{-n}1_{A_{k,n}} + 2^n1_{B_n}$$

Theorem

The simple functions S are dense in $L^2[0, \infty)$. Every measurable f is the pointwise limit of simple functions.

$$\exists \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S \quad \text{s.t.} \quad f = \sup_n f_n$$

Proof: The idea is to divide the range of f .

First divide $[0, 2^n]$ into 2^{2^n} subintervals of width 2^{-n} .

Define for every n :

$$A_{k,n} = f^{-1}[(k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{2^n} - 1$$

and $B_n = f^{-1}[(2^n, \infty)]$.

This gives us the approximating simple functions:

$$f_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2^n}-1} k2^{-n} 1_{A_{k,n}} + 2^n 1_{B_n}$$

Note that indeed

(i) $A_{k,n}$ and B_n are in \mathcal{F} by the measurability of f

(ii) $0 \leq f_n \leq f$ and $f_n \uparrow f$

These simple functions are elements of $L^2[0, \infty)$ and thus $\forall f \in L^2[0, \infty)$ there is a sequence of simple functions $\{f_n\}$ s.t. by the DCT

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f - f_n|^2 dt = 0$$

Note that indeed

(i) $A_{k,n}$ and B_n are in \mathcal{F} by the measurability of f

(ii) $0 \leq f_n \leq f$ and $f_n \uparrow f$

These simple functions are elements of $L^2[0, \infty)$ and thus $\forall f \in L^2[0, \infty)$ there is a sequence of simple functions $\{f_n\}$ s.t. by the DCT

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f - f_n|^2 dt = 0$$

It must be noted that the actual exercise was proving that the set $\{f : f = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]}\}$ was dense in $L^2[0, \infty)$.

This can be done by using the fact that the set of compactly supported continuous functions are dense in $L^2[0, \infty)$. With similar argumentation as the preceding proof it is enough to approximate the L^2 functions on a compact interval because by the integrability assumption they must be bounded almost everywhere. And using lower Riemann sums to approximate the continuous function from below gives us the required result.